



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,895	02/09/2004	Scott D. Hardy	10762-006001	8757
69713	7590	02/05/2008		
OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP			EXAMINER	
10 FAWCETT STREET			MAYO, TARA L	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10774895	2/9/04	HARDY, SCOTT D.	10762-006001

OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP
10 FAWCETT STREET
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

EXAMINER

Tara L. Mayo

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3671


20080129

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply brief filed 26 November 2007 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.


TARA L MAYO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit: 3671

Reply Brief Noted
Date 29 Jan 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Scott D. Hardy
Serial No. : 10/774,895
Filed : February 9, 2004
Title : INFLATABLE CRIB

Art Unit : 3671
Examiner : Tara L. Mayo
Conf. No. : 8757

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

Appellant's provide the following additional comments in response to the examiner's Answer on Appeal mailed on September 24, 2007.

(1) Status of Claims

Claim 1 is canceled, and claims 2-32 are pending in the case. Claims 11 and 30 are independent. This is an appeal from the decision of the examiner in a Final Office Action dated November 20, 2006, rejecting pending claims 2-32. Claims 11-14, 19-22, 24-26, and 30-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness over U.S. 3,763,506 ("Szego") in view of U.S. 5,881,408 ("Bashista"). Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness over Szego in view of Bashista in further view of U.S. 4,739,527 ("Kohus"). Claims 16 and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness over Szego in view of Bashista and Kohus in further view of U.S. 3,137,870 ("Fink"). Claims 18, 27, 2-6, and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness over Szego in view of Bashista in further view of U.S. 5,291,623 ("Artz"). Claims 23, 28, and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness over Szego in view of Bashista in further view of U.S. 4,815,153 ("Bleser"). Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness over Szego in view of Bashista and Artz in further view of Bleser.

Claims 2-32 are the subject of this appeal.

(2) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

(i) Did the examiner properly reject claims 11-14, 19-22, 24-26, and 30-32 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness over U.S. 3,763,506 ("Szego") in view of U.S. 5,881,408 ("Bashista")?